

ADDENDUM

Precedent

The American Radiation workers from the 1940's to 70's were exposed to deadly levels causing harm and death. Various workers who spoke up were ridiculed. The U.S. Federal government had lied to them, even with false recording equipment giving "safe" readings. The excuse was the need of the Cold War.

Point The Radiation workers were exposed to a localized danger harming only a limited population.

Point HIV/Hep C contamination of the blood supply occurred due bad political judgement: people died. Again a limited population with restricting conditions on disease spread: blood products and body fluids.

Point: The military experiments with deadly diseases in isolated and extremely restricted labs and top personnel. Even so (i.e. Anthrax) there are mistakes and local (i.e. sheep) populations die. There is a limited spread in isolated areas, and traditional diseases have available treatment and containment practices.

Point: The U.S. government is not prepared for new epidemics that have a rapid spread as could be found in, say, a Hoof and Mouth disease cross over to humans. The harm to national security and the economy is unimaginable because there has never been such a prolonged period of posterity as we have experienced in the last 50 years. The Irish Potato Blight Famine is a good example.

Unlike military or CDC labs, many universities and institutions are staffed by Ph. D's no better qualified than J.C.M. Riley (whose research is officially recognized in derogatory terms). And some of the research is moving into fields without precedent and the researchers actually do not know what they are doing. Further, institutions, under both countries initiatives to turn labs into biotech businesses have lost all integrity and concern for public safety: if we have a signed paper we can do it and not be held accountable. So no one is bothering to examine both sides of the experiment anymore.

In fact, if anyone dares to question the potential harm or validity, everyone becomes upset because the IPO may be harmed. Yet no one is willing to accept the LIABILITY for any harm: remember they have that signed assurance that clears them of liability. No one investigates the potential for harm, which may be more important in the long run.

Point: How reputations are not as important as repeatable results.

An August researcher such as Dr. H.R. Behrman (Head of OB. & Gyn. at Yale) could not comprehend putting an antioxidant into his experiments until he plagiarized the theory with J.C.M. Riley. In fact, Dr. Behrman accepted J.C.M. Riley on the basis of research described in derogatory terms – perhaps that was the standard of excellence at Yale and they honestly didn't know any better. The fact that scientists don't know any better is not the point, stopping the other people with new ideas protects their reputation and funding grants (meant to discover new ideas) but it is not competitive or a good use of the taxpayers' monies. Dr. H.R. Behrman must be seen as fairly typical of many scientists today: he can explain why something works the way it does after the fact, not before. And if you are proposing research talking about new diseases and harm to society, you can't go to typical scientists or institutions.

To achieve the respect for the new research, I must argue for a direct comparison of my suppressed 1986 MSc. thesis versus that work published by Dr. H.R. Behrman in 1986. My 1986 theories have proven to reach to the year 2001 and beyond. Dr. Behrman's were obsolete in 1986. Dr. Behrman represents the elite of American scientists, and if he can be so mistaken, then the U.S. government should take notice of the Greenhalgh theories as being very serious.

The company, Monsanto, is not bound by any American laws while at the University of Guelph. If harm occurs, Monsanto can simply say, in all honesty, they were operating within the guidelines of Health Canada: and these have been proven to be very low standards and not at all truthful nor ethical. These may prove not to be good enough (which is why the criminal investigation is needed).

Mendel's Laws and Precedent

As I have noted, work was suppressed, but it was so important to science and society that it had to be brought to everyone's attention.

Both NIH and the Ministry of Health are mandated to develop science of direct medical value to the taxpayers'. However, because both countries are pursuing a political program of commercializing university labs and pulling profit ahead of truthful unbiased results, the allegation is made that perspective governments stole the idea. Showed them to friends to develop. The documentation exists to support the allegation. Please note the group at the University of Toronto who in May 2001 published the "executioner gene" (vs. the Cell Death Signal gene) plagiarized the Greenhalgh theories of 1987-90. Plus others. Greenhalgh wanted to help society and asked government and private industry for help. The Universities Waterloo and Yale are alleged to have black listed Greenhalgh's theories: it was ok, he'd never survive. So no one would be the wiser.

Point: any government that is mandated to advance science but black lists an honest person so to steal his work and cover up fraud, is so corrupt that they will promote or allow other science, in a gamble for money, that may harm people. They will gamble with people's lives and endanger the economy. That is corruption.

Mendel's Precedent: Mendel's laws of genetics were suppressed until after his death and his contemporary scientists retired (like J.C. Carlson and H.R. Behrman). Then younger scientists could "rediscover" the work without embarrassing their teachers or institutions: nonetheless, delay caused the loss to society of their benefit. Please note that they are called "Mendel's Laws", not someone else's. The "executioner gene" is alleged so to avoid the direct comparison with the simpler, "Cell Death Signal" gene. Please note the letter (Booklet Allegations 2) dated 1988 from Dr. G. Nicolson of M.D. Anderson Cancer Centre, who discusses this fact (as it related to luteal cells). Dr. Nicolson acted as an honest scientist when the University of Waterloo made its false offer (proving they had no mechanisms to handle disputes as required by the U.S. Federal program requirements for participation) of letting one outside competent authority review the complaint Dr. Nicolson supported Greenhalgh, and to promote the Riley U.S. federal fraud the University of Waterloo refused to honour their original commitment. This is important for any group working in Canada, which may cause harm – they do not tell the truth, please remember this fact. The fact is this material also ended up before the Ministry of Health, and representatives on the Medical Research Council of Canada (i.e. Dr. Keith Dorrington) who have direct connections to the University of Toronto. The group at the University of Toronto were funded under a Centre of Excellence initiative by Can Vac and Amgen: this includes relationships with Biochem Pharmia Inc., Glaxo-Wellcome, Dr. Mak Tak who has relationships with Amgen deals with UpJohn; and the Board of Directors of Can Vac is another Canadian Senator, Heurve-Payette. Senator Heurve-Payette is a P.M. Chretien liberal Senator, Dr. A Carty former Dean of Research of the University of Waterloo is now P.M. Chretien's President of NRC.

What is emphasized is how small a world it is with all of its interconnections. Now please review the reply from CIBA GIEGY dated May 7, 1990 wherein I had sent a research proposal discussing cell death and the immune system, and how (to avoid the academic dispute and move on). I would like to do research in England (far away from both UW and Yale). However, the blacklisting cost me much, and when I re-wrote CIBA-GIEGY – 1992 – they replied that they don't do basic fundamental research in Canada.

The two letters are quite telling. And we are talking about cancer research. After the blacklisting sets in no one does cancer research in Canada, but look at the 1990 dates on replies of pharmaceutical companies that know about the Cell Death Signal Theory.

A partial list includes:

- Hoechst Celanese
- Roussel
- Burroughs Welcome
- UpJohn
- Glaxo
- Hoffman Roche

Please note the companies associated with the U of T group. In real life there

are no coincidences on that scale. But like Mendel's Laws, Cell Death Signal Theory is documented to predate anyone before 1988, and especially the year 2001.

The special point to Americans is that Amgen is given special consideration by the U.S. government to bring in foreign scientist to develop research of value to Americans health and welfare. After all, the U.S. government gave money to J.C.M. Riley for research described in derogatory terms, and he then plagiarized mine anyways. Why didn't anyone of dozens of the pharmaceutical companies bring E.A. Greenhalgh to the U.S. to develop this research 10 years ahead of anyone? Who lost due to the political blacklisting? Americans who have died of cancer, that's who.

Point: E.A. Greenhalgh's theories of value to Americans medical health was blocked from research as a political favour to Yale and Waterloo universities. That is extremely stupid, but it happened and must stand as a critical precedent.

Would the U.S. allow research that is a threat to National Security and the Economy go unchecked? If the U.S. government did, then that would be stupid. Extremely stupid!

I have asked a number of representatives of the federal U.S. government why they wanted dead Americans. No one will answer, but you blocked cancer and AIDS research, all of which have been proven correct.

FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD PLEASE TELL ME WHY THE U.S. GOVERNMENT WANTS DEAD AMERICANS IN THAT IT KEEPS BLOCKING RESEARCH.

If you don't want dead Americans, you'll help undo the harm that the criminal activity of the University of Waterloo has caused. The U.S. government has the authority to Sanction and penalize the University of Waterloo. The penalty could fund the research: it is that simple.

You must consider this fact as important: circa 1986 Greenhalgh explained to the University of Waterloo and the government of Canada that if they used a simple chemical antioxidant that they would disprove the J.C. Carlson and J.C.M Riley research as bogus. It would disprove membrane fluidity theory 4 years before the American team of Weigh et al would win the Nobel Prize in 1990 for doing essentially the same thing.

Point:

1. Greenhalgh disproved membrane fluidity four years before the Nobel Prize winners.
2. Greenhalgh explained genetic theory regarding genes to tell cells to die 14 years before teams with huge pharmaceutical and government backing.
3. Developed directly from 1) and 2) Cell Death Signal Theory grew to the Viroid Thermodynamic Theory on the Origin of Life. It has been kindly reviewed by Dr. James Lovelock a fellow of the Royal Academy of Science and an advisor to the U.S. Government Jet Propulsion Lab. He was sympathetic with my plight but noted the hostile environment surrounding my work.

The Viroid Thermodynamic Theory (VTT) examines life as energy and how viruses have directed evolution. Long story short, genetic engineers who play with xeno transplants do not really know what they are doing. They can not positively answer yes or no if they will cause a disease to cross over to humans or not. They simply don't know. Fact. Example: if you don't know if a dark room is filled with open barrels of gasoline, you do NOT walk in with a lighted match just because you've never seen an explosion before.

But these are prestigious scientists, high calibre scientists of the stature of a Dr. Behrman at Yale. Dr. Behrman sponsored a Ph. D scholarship for J.C.M. Riley because he referenced his (Behrman's) work; but it was of such low standards it would be described in derogatory terms. Dr. Behrman could not grasp the concept of antioxidants until after he plagiarized the Greenhalgh theories with J.C.M. Riley.

The American Dr. Barbara McClintock is the example usually cited. In the 1930's the old boy's club (scientists out of date but protecting their reputations) forced her out of animal studies into plants. They were wrong, she was right, and in 1984 she received a Nobel Prize.

Point: Out of date scientists defending their grant money and reputations cause harm.

Another example: No one understood AIDS and many so-called techniques to "purify" the blood by pasteurizing it were no better than black magic. People died because "reputations" were put ahead of observation and experimentation and truthful recording of the results.

See booklet Allegations (1), in which is a letter to the FDA director Dr. David Kessler and concerns were already expressed to the U.S. government about xeno transplants to humans. My theories concerns have been a progressive development under the most difficult of conditions. Very briefly, V.T.T. see the genome growing under the influence of viruses and the environment. Genomes develop under population and disease stress. Genetic engineering threatens a barrier simply described as a Chronological Barrier. For example, puppy distemper moved to humans to become measles after 1000's of years of domestication of the dog. That is a chronological barrier, and genetic engineering threatens to remove it. If you ever read Dr. Nicolson's kind support of my research he gave to the University of Waterloo, he said I had an intuitive grasp of science. Dr. Behrman of Yale could grasp antioxidants until he plagiarized my work. Point made.

Monsanto with its BST milk program (didn't know, or didn't care?) has contributed to the loss of antibiotics for people's health. Monsanto is now a partner with the Canadian government under a Centre of Excellence program to develop xeno-transplants – pig hearts for humans. There is a controversy about crossover diseases, but the fact is no one really knows. Given my proven history of developing theories that are correct, I would like to examine the problem to find answers. However, I will need help. It will be worth it for your National Security and Economy.

Question: What did the UK do to stop the spread of Hoof and Mouth disease?

Answer: Mass slaughter.

Question: What would the U.S. do to stop an epidemic from spreading Hoof and Mouth disease crossed over to humans? Hoof and Mouth disease, unlike AIDS is tough and easily spread. How much luck has the U.S. had in stopping AIDS in 20 years? 20 years and it isn't stopped! Then how would the U.S. react to Hoof and Mouth disease spreading like wild fire in your densely populated country? What did the U.S. do at My Lai (sic)? at Kent State? The U.S. has not had massive epidemics since the 1930's and 1940's. A new human form of Hoof and Mouth disease would act the same way small pox tore through the Native American population: it would decimate the population.

Monsanto s at the University of Guelph working with the Canadian government to develop a genetically inbred line of pigs for human transplant.

Fact: No one can say with any scientific certainty these inbreeding transplant experiments will prove to be a conduit for disease transfer or not. No one can say yes or no. They can only gamble; and gambling isn't scientific.

Example: the blood company gambled with its blood product and HIV contamination: children lost and died.

Good scientists investigate both sides of the experiment, not one. It would be in the U.S.' best interest to fund research investigating the possibility of harm or bad effects. The precedent for this are military contracts where a product (i.e. fighter plane) may be examined by a competitor to ensure "spec's" meet government requirement while the original contractor retains all rights and patents (no infringements or losses). If faults are found they are corrected, if none exist the original contractor experiences no loss Bottom Line: lives are saved and the taxpayer wins with a good product.

I must submit that the best way to resolve the issue is to penalize the Canadian government for violating their commitments and use the money to investigate this very problem. Please note, I have

gone to both governments with research theories that are proven correct, this one (built from the others) should be no different.

As to gambling at a Centre of Excellence, the University of Waterloo gambled with J.C.M. Riley: they were wrong! But they knew they were wrong: the entire Biology Dept. of UW was funded for an out of date theory. UW would not give up the funding and lose the money and admit they were wrong. And similarly, Monsanto is in the Centre of Excellence program for money, why should they even consider being wrong? There is no real danger from liability.

Fact: The Universities of Guelph and Waterloo are intertwined by political and financial connections and both promote the Centre of Excellence program. Both former Presidents (Wright and Winegard) have withheld evidence of wrongdoing from the U.S. government in order to promote and cover up the Riley fraud. Both universities employed former Carlson students who received Ph. D's from work so bad it was cancelled and described in derogatory terms: their scientific standard of acceptance must be seen to be at this very low level. It is a documented fact that the Monsanto project at Guelph has had a number of failures and the research data has been withheld from the public even though much of the funding is from the Canadian government (therefore it is public property). That it is withheld must cause you to recall the J.C.M. Riley Precedent.

Precedent: The Arkansas Prison Blood Scandal saw the criminal re-instatement of bad doctors who allowed the shipping of contaminated blood (HIV & Hep C) harming people around the world. Canada (review letter from Hep C Society) has treated its citizens very badly. Canadian liability and civil laws are much different than the U.S. !

Fact: Monsanto is in Canada and therefore under Canadian laws.

Canada has always denied wrongdoing in the Hep C case (falsely) claiming that there was no available test at the time.

Monsanto can claim this too in the future (unless you listen to the Greehalgh concerns and theories). If the Monsanto project does cause cross over diseases, they will be much more infectious than HIV or Hep C. The University of Guelph is on a major transport route ---- to I 75 and the heartland of America; and air currents travel over Guelph, over Lake Ontario and Buffalo to the Eastern sea board.

Fact: Canada wanted to build two centres equivalent to the Atlanta CDC: one in Toronto, the other in (isolated) Winnipeg. Greenhalgh can document a letter sent to the Ontario government describing the bad standards of science as exemplified by J.C.M. Riley accepted at Canadian institutions, and how air currents "waft" over Lake Ontario to New York State. The public also expressed fears: one centre was built in Winnipeg.

Fact: The entire country of Canada could not pay liability damages to the U.S. from a new disease. The U.S. couldn't pay the damages for that matter!

Fact: (the meaninglessness of Canadian health regulations) The Riley case could have been investigated by a SITE INVESTIGATION as in the guidelines and the simplest of experiments (add a chemical antioxidant) would have exposed the wrongdoing and prevented a criminal act. But the Health Ministry refused because they had a private political agenda that did not include truth, honesty or preventing criminal acts. They knew better; and they were wrong! This is the same government withholding bad results of the Monsanto work at Guelph.

It is in the United States government's interest to investigate, especially since blacklisting of Greenhalgh is documented from Princess Patricia Hospital (U.K.) to the Carol M. Baldwin Breast Cancer Centre Stony Brooke U.S.A. Blacklisting prevents further research and allows governments and friends to EVADE JUSTICE AND LIABILITY by FALSELY declaring they can't be held accountable because

- this was the state of the art
- no one knew
- there was no test

False and a criminal mentality.

Deputy Chief Farrington and the Dept. of Justice, can you understand that this case is bigger than just fraud? And an investigation is absolutely vital for the good of society. It is not about the crossover of one disease and the devastation it will cause, but the crossover of many diseases and resultant mutations to even more. Cell Death Signal Theory predates and validates the Viroid Thermodynamic Theory on the Origin of Life, which has far reaching ramifications to cross over diseases. But you can't do the research if you are blacklisted and financially crippled. The Canadian government and personnel under the IGO already are proven that neither government wants to admit to scientific misconduct, let alone fraud. Or research where politicians and their friends can acquire personal wealth by misusing public funded programs. If the research is safe, Monsanto should have no qualms about:

- an outside research program acquiring data and material to investigate crossover etc.
- the executives should feel quite safe signing papers stating that the company and the executives (personally) will accept full responsibility and liability for any harm that may result.

If Monsanto and the Canadian government will not produce and sign such a document, if they, themselves, do not feel safe, why should anyone else?

I believe you have been presented with very serious issues and reasons to investigate.

Sincerely,

E.A. Greenhalgh