

The objectives of the website (see Mission Statement) have been to overcome the blacklisting so to resume the research and hold corrupt people accountable under the law (legal and civil). There never was any intent (see registered letter to CEO Wright in the Godsoe material dated 26 May 1993) to harm honest students/personnel at the University of Waterloo. Indeed, the KW citizens who lost money in the Rim Park Scandal (increasing their taxes) and are now suing those responsible should understand . They do not hate Waterloo, just the opposite, by seeking justice and holding corrupt people accountable they are trying to fix a bad system . which is exactly my intent, only the problem is so much bigger requiring help from the world community : corrupt science is an indiscriminate killer.

Important precedent for the federal cover up , cronies are trying to protect the former President and CEO of the University of Waterloo , Douglas Wright from the public becoming aware that he is a liar and a cheat, because it will reflect back upon themselves and their own positions . DOCUMENTED EVIDENCE proves he gave DELIBERATE FALSE Signed Assurances on federal forms which DEMANDED truthful and honest responses solely in order to receive federal moneys : this constitutes federal felony fraud !Therefore, Douglas Wright is a felon, but the federal police refuse to do their duty. Neither the US nor the Canadian federal governments want to lay the fraud charges nor see CEO Wright convicted (aside from his obvious crony political and financial friendships) because of the very serious and important precedent it would set : senior executives of elite universities will be held accountable for the truthfulness of their signed assurances under law (just like ENRON and banking executives, same concept). Up to now, university signed assurances for taxpayers' moneys have been meaningless .This new precedent will force university executives to be transparent (fewer sweetheart deals for elite children)and accountable because there will be REAL CONSEQUENCES for the misuse of the taxpayers' moneys and trust. By being accountable under the law university executives will not be so careless with what they sign and approve because they , personally, will pay real consequences . This principle is vitally important in the new era of businesses on campus ("running the school") because the new biotechnology business can cause both great good and terrible harm . the terrible harm (i.e., Tainted Blood , Mad cow disease etc.) IS preventable only if executives know there are real consequences (no one has been held accountable for tainted blood, nor mad cow etc., except the taxpayers!).

As for CEO Douglas Wright , there is NO statutes of limitations for federal fraud , and so sir, you should treat this as your very own biotech disaster just to see how it feels. Some elite executive like yourself (a real possibility a friend you know) gave some signed assurances for the safety of the use of dead cattle in cattle feed (over the strenuous objections of some good scientists) , but the real problem for humans is that the disease may not show its horrible effects for twenty years : NO statutes of limitations to protect these victims (of executive callousness). CEO Wright, with the public request to have the fraud charges laid , you are feeling the effects of your very own harm , your very own FALSE signed assurances ; and for the public safety , must be held accountable . To prove that there are consequences to lying. A person with BSE can not escape a horrible fate because YOU have crony connections, so you will serve as a very important example and warning to other university executive not to even think about lying; so the public must not let you escape your very own false assurances. After all, you did suppress cancer research (you were even sent a registered letter asking for help) , but did not care if people suffered, and so, malicious people must be held accountable for their own actions.

IMPORTANT MORAL PRECEDENT :

April 2004, The Canadian Parliament passed a resolution condemning the 1915 massacre of Armenians by the Turks as genocide. The Turkish government in 2004 has protested to the Canadian government. Please note the date ; 1915 , the Canadian Government are claiming to take the “high” ground when dealing with foreigners, but I must ask ,will they apply this same moral high ground to one of their own, to Douglas Wright and the other corrupt administrators at the University of Waterloo? The previous crony governments of Chretien and Mulroney were all too willing to cover up. **PLEASE DO NOT LOSE SIGHT OF THE FACT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT BLOCKING CANCER RESEARCH TO COMMIT FELONY FRAUD FOR FRIENDS!**

Useful Definitions:

Malice : the intent to cause harm

Blacklisting : a list , not necessarily a physical document , but an UNDERSTANDING , not to allow someone access : to prevent a career or employment [personal addition; to violate the Charter of Rights and Freedoms!]

Suppression: to put down , to withhold , or cause not to be used , to prevent or stop .

Please note, when you are black listed , not only are you not allowed to continue research, but you do not get to sit on advisory counsels , input to peer review, or other oversight panels. When you are black listed, the corrupt people can continue research, sit on advisory committees, advise government because their reputations are above question, sit on oversight panels etc. Corruption stops honest truthful oversight important to the public trust, all the while promoting itself. Why is cancer research held back by those connected to universities and government? For money, for themselves and their family and friends ; even though the public trust is betrayed and people die. The documentation proves this to be true (at the University of Waterloo and the Canadian Ministry of Health/Government).

IMPORTANT CONCERN FOR BIOTECHNOLOGY SAFETY ADVISORY PANELS

Douglas Wright as a promoter of the Center of Excellence Scheme had strong political connections to BOTH federal governments, and his philosophy was to use biotechnology to create millionaires on campus (publicly professed in a newspaper article) : not unlike the ENRON philosophy which coexisted in the same time period . Indeed, Douglas Wright must be compared to the executives of ENRON for a clear understanding of his personality and political connections. However, if a person like E.A.Greenhalgh is black listed by UW so fraud can be committed, and he is excluded from sitting on federal science advisory panels on health and safety regarding standards for the new biotechnology, then who is ? Someone chosen by the likes of Douglas Wright. Therefore, you are asked to answer this question, do you feel safe? Well, do you ? See the later discussion about Jesse Gelsinger's death at Penn state (or go to his parents' website). Do you understand the effects on public safety if good people are black listed to cover up corruption? You must ask ,where was my government? Good question, they had enough material (like the 911 material they ignored).

CEO Douglas Wright deliberately promoted false science ; J.C.M. Riley was a prefabricated academic fraud (a family friend who needed help) whose research CEO Wright KNEW (before signing any assurances) could NOT be replicated . Yet , regardless, according to plan , he signed any ways , allowing J.C.M. Riley and Yale to plagiarize my research . Dr. J.E. Thompson , Biology Chair and later Dean of Science , also gave deliberate false assurances simply because he was on the Riley Ph.D. committee and he wished to continue receiving federal funding for research proven wrong (do you see the serious implications on the safety of biotechnology when senior scientists would rather lie than follow up repeatable experiments ? : for money they lie) . Dr. J. E. Thompson has since plagiarized

(Cell Death Signal Gene) my theories as his own for personal gain and profit : the original work belongs to the taxpayers who funded it , not Thompson nor UW ! They (Wright, Thompson, et al) must be judged on their misdeeds and bad science. They represent A BAD ATTITUDE/CULTURE that has grown from the commercialization of academia that will allow/promote harm (like Mad Cow etc.) and not believe they have any responsibility except to try and promote privatization and the accumulation of private money : harm is not their fault, they are except from liability .

I have , on the other hand , stood behind truthful scientific methods and ethical standards, and, therefore , have received no help from government nor cancer centers and not been allowed to work (except on my own to develop VTT) ;and the public must understand the results of my own science . I had testicular cancer like Lance Armstrong , but turned down chemo and radiation so to apply my theories. Unlike Lance Armstrong , I have not developed any secondary cancers , and since 1997 (numerous cat scans, chest X rays etc.) am cancer free! Shouldn't someone have helped me to do the research instead of black listing and covering up the fraud? The public , whose taxes have been misused , and donations wasted; and deaths, have suffered too. There must be consequences to lying and cheating if the public is going to be protected from bad biotechnology , and people who will lie and say it is safe (when projects fail to be tested thoroughly) when they do not know or even when it is not safe, just so they can acquire money . The public must help by speaking up.

About the website's importance, people have doubted that cancer fraud occurs , and the dangers warned of in the Addendum, Mass Extinction/SARS and Good Gene Gone Bad are impossible because of government regulations/oversight and the basic honesty and ethics of scientists and institutions. Tainted blood and Mad Cow are good bad examples , but worse is the case of Jesse Gelsinger (website UTMB) who died in a dreadful viral experiment at Penn U under the care of a Dr. James Wilson (in Good Gene Gone Bad , I stated ,”they don't really know what they are doing!” Jesse's case proves , **THEY REALLY DON'T!!!**). Apparently, all the protocols and ethics for the human experiment were (sound familiar)**DELIBERATELY VIOLATED** ; the earlier monkey experiments had been **ARTIFICIALLY** enhanced (“sexed-up” in WMD terms) just so he could work on humans (just like the Riley Ph.D was a predetermined fabrication: see lying in science does kill). The point is , was the scientist truthfully qualified to do the research, where was the truthful peer review (vs. cronyism) whose oversight is supposed to prevent harm and what did the institution have as a responsibility beside from making sure the paper work was filled out properly so they could

receive the money? Conflicts of interest , bad ethics (arrogance) and money were deemed more important than open transparent investigation of the scientist's work before allowing him to proceed ; more important than a human life.

Would the results been different if nothing had been withheld (like 911 Commission ,the monkeys deaths) ? The truth is that a massive injection of fatal virus [BAD BIOTECHNOLOGY!]killed a fine young human being. This is simply bad. Could it happen to you ? If you don't speak up, YES .

The serious and real warning that the public must draw from the Jesse Gelsinger death is this : no one at Penn U knew better (actually) , and everyone ASSUMED that regulations on paper would stop a virus from killing . The administration all believed that because they were nice people who filled out the paper work correctly that nothing bad could happen . Viruses can't read ! Therefore , the warnings about bad biotech based upon academic and government corruption I've actually seen found in the "Addendum" sent to the US Congress must be seriously considered by the public for their own safety . Please , also consider , as of April 2004 , SARS has reappeared even though US and Canadian "experts" (like Wilson) said it had run its course and was gone. Time and time again , experts , who may very well have received their positions through cronyism (not merit) have been proven wrong . My warnings in cancer fraud BAD BIOTECH are that artificially qualified personnel like Riley (Wilson) , backed by corrupt administrators (like Douglas Wright) and sanctioned by corrupt government regulators (like H.G. Friesen in Canada, and Mr. Dockery in the US) will allow terrible harm to occur from a GENETICALLY ENGINEERED (virus accident) disease . There is a real and genuine basis for this concern proven above and documented on the website. I wish , with the public's help , to continue the research ,and , perhaps, prevent this from happening .

IMPORTANT EXAMPLE : STEM CELL RESEARCH

The whole argument concerning the ethical use of human embryos for stem cell research is simply ludicrous if you believe in the scientific method . What is the problem ? Science is supposed to develop models using animals FIRST, then proceed with the PERFECTED techniques to humans . This is the proper scientific method , unless you are a nazi scientist with hundreds of helpless humans to dispose of . We are NOT supposed to be nazi scientists ! So why can't we perfect the technique using animal embryos (no ethical problem to availability) and let the very best scientists (a small handful) have the ethically available stem cells to do research (i.e., no abortions or other controversial retrieval methods)? Why not ? Answer , MONEY ! Exclusive patent rights - the old "millionaires in the

hallways “ syndrome. Scientists are corrupting themselves for money (prostituting) and will gamble with the public health and safety and moralities (human slavery question) . In their mad rush to become millionaires , scientists are abandoning their ethics and honesty : how can you possibly believe a person who thinks they may become a millionaire and they have filled out the proper authorization forms (like the Gelsinger case) absolving them of liability ? Stem cell research may find serious answers to problems , but why can't we follow honest, open and transparent scientific methods to achieve the answers ? Privatization of science (with its confidentiality clauses etc.) and its greed violates safety regulations and will cause terrible harm ; especially if a genetically engineered accident creates a new disease for which mankind has no immunity .

THE MICROSOFT EXAMPLE

It is a little ironic and appropriate that Microsoft has bought into the Douglas Wright/Brian Mulrone Center of Excellence scheme and is on campus at Waterloo because its own development techniques will PROVE the dangers to the public . Part of Microsoft's own documented history is how it brings software to market ; often rushed and incomplete and containing flaws . Microsoft has more than once had to issue “patches” to its systems to prevent VIRUSES from doing TERRIBLE HARM . This is the corporate culture of Microsoft research and development , and is becoming the corporate philosophy of ALL Centers of Excellence , and biotechnology itself . However , unlike computer viruses , which can only ruin your computer , a biotechnology virus created by a fluke, from a genetically engineered plant or animal CAN kill you , your family and friends, and if really bad , your whole neighbourhood . Do you really want the Douglas Wright “millionaires in the hallways” -” fix em up afterwards “ biotechnology loose in your world ?

Would you like an honest , truthful and a constructively critical scientist overseeing the Wrights of the world ? I can only resume the research if the public helps me to : one thing you can do is demand the fraud charges go forward. Like , Jesse Gelsinger , before he died , the public thinks it is protected by the system/government oversight agencies. However , the truth is found in the 911 testimony of Richard Clarke ,” unless the system works, YOU ARE NOT!” Help me make the system work .

The Administration of the University of Waterloo must be compared to ENRON , using their enormous financial and political connections to promote private personal interests and agendas while betraying the public trust , taxpayers and to manipulate the legal system to violate civil rights and laws . The University of Waterloo had a legal responsibility (Acts of Parliament and Congress) on

receiving public funds to promote research of medical value to the taxpayers : not further the career of an elite friend's child ; but they chose to betray their oaths and gave deliberately FALSE signed assurances in order to achieve a completely private goal .Who else but bad (and dangerous) people thinking only of themselves would block cancer research beneficial to the public ?

POINT : Douglas Wright was a politician with Bill Davis' party which is the same party as Senator Trevor Eyton , and fmr Health Minister Winegard ; under whose watch Canadian scientists deliberately shipped out contaminated blood which infected much of the world's supply . The contamination was wide spread because much of the world TRUSTED Canadian scientists . Why did they do it ? To maximize profit for the clients; which is the new mandate of the Canadian Ministry of Health developed under the Brian Mulroney government - as advised to him by his friend Douglas Wright . So you see the dangers from bad biotechnology if you do not have honest but constructively critical scientists overseeing bad politicians and business people . There must be a true arms length and independent oversight not hog tied by concerns for a client's profit , and with the public safety the first priority .

Please be aware , universities receiving federal money have OBLIGATIONS to federal standards and laws : they are not allowed to pick and chose whether or not they will obey the law irregardless of how many crony political connections they may have . They are , also , in Canada accountable to the Charter of Rights and Freedoms , and NO conspiracy to cover up can change that . The ordinary employees at ENRON must be compared to the ordinary employees at the University of Waterloo : they were not the corrupt ELITE (Douglas Wright , Trevor Eyton etc.) corporate heads who caused harm , but harm was caused . Therefore , the students and staff at UW should not suffer (i.e., tuition increased/pay cuts) to pay restitution for a criminal scheme master minded by the corrupt elite administration promoting a private conspiracy designed for private gain while misusing federal programs and the public trust .

The Elite business people must be held personally and directly accountable because it is THEIR direction that runs the university . The precedent must be established that any restitution (damages caused by a Center of Excellence business scheme participant , like a university senator) must not come from students , staff nor taxpayers (an all too common accountability escape practice used by elite business people with government connections to preserve their own gains ; i.e., the Blood Scandal Restitution was paid for by the taxpayers , not the "client") , but from those very ELITE business people promoting the private

enterprise scheme/model : Centers of Excellence that caused the harm . Under Canadian law , if you are 1 % responsible for the harm/damages , then you are 100 % liable to pay restitution . And this should be especially more so if you have , or are politically connected and swore an oath to the public and the Constitution ; and entered into a conspiracy to deprive a citizen of his Charter of Rights and Freedoms guaranteed under the Constitution (to take away his right to make a living and education) . You have a responsibility and accountability well beyond your arrogance and crony connections. Please recall how Parliament voted on the old moral issue of the 1915 Armenian Genocide , time does not count if the Canadian Parliament is to be believed when it comes to important moral and ethical issues. Now we will find out if the Charter of Rights and Freedoms is for real or a fraud !

Before proceeding , you must note corporations enticed to UW campus by the Center of Excellence scheme include , Microsoft, IBM ; and BCE (Bell Canada) and Nortel, the last two are noted because of the ethics used by their retiring CEOs. Even though their corporations lost large amounts of shareholder moneys, each received hundreds of millions of dollars in retirement packages. They received in restitution or compensation , 100s of millions of dollars even though the little people may have lost their life savings. Remember this fact. And now , the public must ask ,”how much of this have these CEOs given to cancer and AIDS research, and how much have they used on themselves?” This is a very fair and pertinent question because the blacklisting (MALICE) of the University of Waterloo blocked a scholarship (see CIBA GEIGY offer in old website material) which I was going to use to uncover the Cell Death Gene (sequence) Signal and apply it to tumours !!!!!!! (see letters 1987 etc. to Ministry of Health, “wouldn’t Honest cancer researchers want to know ?”) . How much was this scholarship worth ? Maybe a few thousand (peanuts!), but the research itself (proven correct 14 years later) would have been enormously beneficial to the public . Compare the “ piddly “ few thousand that UW blocked out of MALICE to the millions that these corporate ELITE retired with. That must be considered EVIL : how many people with cancer could have benefited ? And , I have just proven, with written documentation, that business people DO block cancer research for money . **PROVEN FACT !** And the Centers of Excellence scheme will cause more harm unless the public demands the corruption be exposed and held accountable . If the DOJ will prosecute Martha Stewart , who caused less harm then blocking cancer research, why will it not charge Douglas Wright ?

And one such business man must be named, Senator Trevor Eyton : nothing will out the rest of the rats better than a rat who is exposed to the public as a rat . Senator Trevor Eyton was a senator at the University of Waterloo , and he was

made a federal political Senator by his good friend P.M. Brian Mulroney (also a good friend of Douglas Wright - see letter to P.M. Mulroney and reply from Jeff Foster, P.M. Mulroney was aware that cancer research was being blocked, but friends are more important than cancer research : cronies cover for cronies) . Trevor Eyton is also head of Brascan , a multi billion dollar Canadian company with immense influence and power over other companies , including law firms (my original law firm committed an unethical act and sent my lawyer on sabbatical to Australia) . But , as a Canadian Senator , Trevor Eyton has a sworn DUTY to uphold the Constitution , especially the Charter of Rights and Freedoms. For blocking cancer research , and helping violate a citizen's Charter of Rights , perhaps Senator Eyton should be impeached and removed from the Senate. The Senate could hold a historic ethical vote like the Armenian Genocide vote because, after all , we are talking about the Trudeau Legacy , I believe HE would approve. Only accountability and exposure can protect the public , so please note, before another senator was forced to retire , Senator Trevor Eyton only had the SECOND worst attendance record in all the Senate . His poor attendance record must stand as the best evidence of his overall contempt and arrogance towards the public and public responsibility . Such a man could easily , out of sheer arrogance (more likely than not) misuse his power and influence to block access to further education and thus terminate cancer research . Trevor Eyton must be seen for what he is : he is typical of the ELITE administration at the University of Waterloo . As such , he is 100 % liable for all the harm that has befallen me, especially the black listing and the associated conspiracy resulting in the violation of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms .

The website is called Cancer Fraud Bad Biotech because university and government agencies blocked cancer theories in order to promote a scholarship fraud and a political biotechnology program (the joint US Canada Centers of Excellence program : which also explains why the DOJ is so unwilling to lay criminal charges ; a cover up to protect business people and politicians , not the taxpayers).Government personnel/agencies are mandated under law to promote medical scientific research , not suppress it, and if the DOJ laid charges it would expose serious flaws in a federal program funneling tax dollars to private use. Not desirable. Indeed . personnel under the IGO have lied in writing (shades of 911 and WMDs) .

Q. , Why do you want dead Americans , Pres. Bush ? There has been enough material sent to your A G Ashcroft and your hand picked Sec of HHS, Tommy Thompson ,so are you still claiming a lack of knowledge ,or are you covering up for your predecessor , Pres. Clinton and for your alma mater, Yale? Do your

personal loyalties come ahead of your duty to your country ? Personal gain ahead of your country ? Enforce the law, save lives.

So , if these same people with bad (criminal) ethics are willing to violate federal regulations and law; are promoting new biotechnologies using the taxpayers' moneys (not private capitol) , the question that must be asked is, "How safe is it?" For a partial answer consider the results of the Tainted Blood Scandal , the spread of Mad Cow Disease , and the Jesse Gelsinger Case . Since the taxpayers are providing the research money (not private enterprise) to the Centers of Excellence program , and a scientist who has been supported by the taxpayer for years , finally makes a discovery, does this mean faster cures and lower drug prices ? Do the taxpayers (like stock holders) get any return on their investment ? NO! Research , proven in my case , is suppressed so other researchers at the Center of Excellence can continue receiving federal moneys for outdated research . So fabricated students (from elite families) whose work is proven bogus can receive a US scholarship to go to Yale and plagiarize the suppressed research . And finally, the Dean of Science , Dr. J. E. Thompson , who was receiving federal funding for outdated research , after a period of suppressing cancer research , can turn around and plagiarize the Cell Death Signal Gene theory as his own ; and start up a private company in the US and enter into a corporate relationship with a large US California based biotechnology firm . All of which, the basic biological theory , was gained through a criminal conspiracy to manipulate federal funds , and by the deliberate lying to authorities (US and Canada, Health and Human Services, and the Ministry of Health). The Center of Excellence under CEO Douglas Wright and Dean J .E . Thompson , have lied and cheated , committing in premeditation , federal felony fraud . Any propriety rights that the US based biotechnology company may believe it has (based on promises from UW and Thompson) any rights to are totally invalid and null and void because ANY research was acquired via a felony conspiracy . The "rights" to the patent and propriety of the Cell Death Genetic sequence belongs to the taxpayer -- public domain . And if the US based biotechnology company wishes to make any liability claim , it must be against Senator Trevor Eyton and his cronies at the University of Waterloo who all engaged in a criminal conspiracy . A conspiracy meant to cheat the taxpayers , and to maliciously damage an honest researcher's life and career . Public money was deliberately mismanaged , and cancer research deliberately delayed ; how many died ? See the research proposals , especially concerning breast cancer circa 1992 on a protein to prevent it, reported to be found by others only now in 2004 .

The above is proof (see menu for 2003 material sent to DOJ/RCMP) that a thorough and complete proper police investigation of fraud never occurred. The RCMP , for example , never interviewed CEO Wright nor Dean J. E. Thompson (

plus others) both individuals who benefited personally from the federal felony fraud . There must be a realistic basis / foundation for reopening a federal felony investigation . The above is just such a reason ; it has basis and merit proving that the taxpayers in both countries were cheated and federal laws and regulations were violated ;and the prosecution will bring benefit to the public good . Additional material will be sent by courier to the authorities and placed on revision of this website.

Important Point : neither government wishes to set the legal precedent of holding university CEOs and presidents liable for the federal moneys they sign for , partly because these positions are held by business associates and found jobs for elite family members . Retired politicians often become chancellors or presidents etc. , as a patronage reward . It is a “safe” gift for political loyalty . To preserve this haven for friends, they prefer to keep individual scientists/personnel liable to “take the fall “ even though orders come from above . In this new era of biotechnology where one stupid mistake may cost many lives and cripple economies, society can no longer afford this kind of crony patronage. If being a head of a university is prestigious, then it is so because of the responsibility, and with this comes accountability. To ensure a safe society , the precedent must be set .

Misuse of Public Funds and Trust

The Center of Excellence program is actually cheating the taxpayers of both countries , which is why neither government wants to act on the fraud charges ; it would expose wide spread corruption . Point , if the majority of cancer researchers at a Center of Excellence are employed by a pharmaceutical company , “WHY ARE YOU DONATING TO CANCER RESEARCH TO PAY THEIR SALARIES ?” What are the drug companies contributing when the taxpayer has paid for the facility , and the research ? : exclusive patent rights and high prices ! This is not fair , nor does it speed up the process to find a cure (actually it slows it) , nor does it lower the cost of cancer drugs (it actually keeps prices higher while limiting the availability of new cancer treatments) . Universities have become Big Business , whose Board of Governors and Senates are filled with business people and politicians .They think in terms of business , not science , and not in terms of the harm that bad science can cause (they think in terms of teams of lawyers beating the rap as in “Erin Brockovitch ” and Hinckley Calif. , those kinds of businesses) . Not all business people are criminals , but the ones that cause the greatest harm are the ones that bend the rules and fail to live up to the spirit of federal regulations; the ENRONs , Dynergy, Worldcom , Tyco etc. . The CEO , Douglas Wright , at the University of Waterloo declared he was ELITE and the ELITE should rule (so he proclaimed in a newspaper article). He fits in quite well with the previously

named elite in believing he is above ordinary people and the law. One sign of a good business person is that they believe they are accountable, and make themselves available. Douglas Wright would not even respond to a registered letter and would only communicate through lawyers.

The Center of Excellence program (at the University of Waterloo) has violated federal statutes, believes it is above the law and accountability (can get away with lying, cheating and Charter violations). Jesse Gelsinger is one example of harm when science lies, but what about all the innocent people sent to prison when corrupt FBI labs fabricated evidence? Science that lies does harm ordinary people. Big business that fails its obligations to the law and the taxpayers harms ordinary people in many ways.

HOW CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE/BAD BUSINESS CHEATS THE PUBLIC
(Good business returns to the public and is accountable to the public by obeying regulations and the law)

There are serious flaws in the Center of Excellence program that cheat the taxpayer and the general public. The first problem is that many professors have lived off of the taxpayers for years (in fact many positions were created just to give family members a job), salary and research moneys have been paid for by the taxpayer. Many professors discover nothing in their entire lifetimes, and, except as for their roles as teachers, return nothing to the taxpayer. Then what happens if the professor by sheer luck makes a discovery? In the past many professors would turn the discovery over to the public domain (I personally know of such a case with the patent sold for a dollar; under the Excellence scheme this would not be allowed) so the medicine could be developed faster by more than one pharmaceutical company, thereby keeping the costs down and creating competition (no monopoly rights to exclusive patents). Please note, the pharmaceutical businesses put NO capitol into the research and development of the discovery, the taxpayers did, and the taxpayers reaped the benefits.

In the 80s and 90s a new phenomenon emerged in all industries, computer software, music, science, etc.; the control of rights. The control of rights /patents was worth more than producing product, whether CDs or drugs (you can move off shore and still make money for yourself). He who controlled the rights controlled the market (a legal monopoly) and controlled all the money. The decline of the music business (bad music; so we see bad science in turn) illustrates many of the problems with this limited business mentality. Instead of rapidly developing new and better product, they have strangled the industry. Same with science and the

Center of Excellence program : a greedy grab to create a monopoly controlled by a handful of elite business people and politicians .

Biotechnology may be a new growth industry , but like computers and software , there maybe limits , and people who get in early and grab all the patent rights may have monopoly control of biotechnology in the future . They can limit the amount of medication made , when and where it is made , and thoroughly control its rate and range of distribution . None of which has anything to do with lower medicare costs nor saving lives . These are strong allegations which the documentation supports , and all in violation of federal statutes under the Ministry of Health and the IGO : antimonopoly laws and fair competition acts .

Biotechnology and the Centers of Excellence have been promoted by individuals like Douglas Wright at the University of Waterloo (and his friend Brian Mulroney, and Jean Chretien , and his friend , fmr Pres. Bill Clinton) so a few can get monopoly control of the markets using taxpayers' moneys as capitol (they are not even risking their own private capitol , pretty clever). The taxpayers of both countries are being exploited on many levels .

The first point made was that in the past a university professor who was funded by the taxpayer may make a discovery , and in return for the years of public support , turn it over to public domain . In the past , many university professors were motivated to help society and had ethical standards and integrity . Under the Center of Excellence scheme , this is no longer true , and professors' motivation can be summed up in the motto of CEO Douglas Wright , “ to create millionaires.” The public is asked to remember the ENRON millionaires and tech bubble , because Douglas Wright was a typical product of that era . His type of personality (false signed assurances) made possible the ENRON era . Money before the public good . Anything signed on paper was to be believed (NOT) .

ENRON created results we can tangibly see (indeed some have gone to jail) so the Centers of Excellence scheme can be measured . Personally , they suppressed cancer research , more on that later , but first some other noticeable effects . The Center of Excellence scheme was to partner with pharmaceutical companies with them making deals with professors on campus . a trend seen in the last decade was the merging/consolidation of pharmaceutical companies , and one of the first departments to be eliminated was Research and Development (R&D) . The personnel were deemed redundant : so how did this speed up research to find new cures ? Ans . : it didn't . By laying people off (down sizing) companies do increase their bottom line profits , and executives increase their compensation (performance bonuses) as a reward for saving money . No new cures though .

By sponsoring a university Center of Excellence you can claim all the personnel working on a project under your professor's umbrella , even undergrad

students being funded by federal money . Therefore , it looks like you have more personnel , not less . And you can run ads telling the public about the wonderful Research and Development you're doing at your Center of Excellence (even if much of the research is funded by the taxpayer). You have it both ways . And you end up with the patent rights to all the discoveries (a better deal than even Microsoft has-- which , by the way is on campus at the University of Waterloo) .

Conversely , you control the research and you control the ethics , not just at the one Center of Excellence , but , in practicality , all the universities because everyone is on board . There are NO dissenting opinions (recall , no one questioned the Jesse Gelsinger experiment) . Without dissent (positive constructive criticism) if an experiment , or a drug on market fails , then you can not be held accountable (more importantly you will not be held liable) because you OWN the peer review (please recall , I've been black listed so a Center can cover up fraud) . You did nothing wrong .

This can't be real , you say , but it is very much so the attitude of the University of Waterloo and other Centers of Excellence. The University of Waterloo must be used because the extensive documentation can establish watermarks and standards to correct the abuses in order the taxpayers may benefit from any positive aspects of the program . In Canada , Douglas Wright (with his friends Brian Mulroney , Trevor Eyton , Bill Davis, and others who benefited from a Crown Corporation mentality : using taxpayers' moneys to develop government businesses paid for by taxes in order to employ family and friends --see Ontario Power Generating Corp.) was pushing the Center of Excellence program to set up his own little kingdom , a la his days in the Davis government , so make his friends at the University of Waterloo millionaires . This would include Dr. J. E. Thompson, Biology Chair, later Dean of Science and later the head of his own corporation under the program . The problem is Dr. Thompson gave FALSE signed assurances for J.C. M Riley and so is involved in the scholarship fraud . He did this because he was on Riley's Ph. D. committee , and if Riley's work was proven false , then so was his. And his own grant money would be in jeopardy. You will note that after Riley he became Dean of Science . Coincidence , or reward ? What do you think ? Where is the peer review ?

1. UW was informed Riley did not have the proper academic back ground
2. his work could not be replicated except by Riley and his supervisor, and even that would be stopped by the addition of a simple antioxidant
3. they had a simple test to use (the antioxidant) if they chose. Note, just like the Canadian government with the infected blood .
4. they had outside peer review from a world renown cancer researcher
5. they were approached by a law firm

All these points prove beyond a shadow of a doubt that Douglas Wright , and Dr. Thompson and indeed the entire administration of the University of Waterloo KNEW before hand that they were committing scholarship (federal) fraud. But given their extensive Crown Corporation/Crony Culture , they simply didn't care because they had the power to influence government and the police . Point, the RCMP can provide NO records of ever interviewing Douglas Wright nor Dr. Thompson nor other key figures . Hence claim of a cover up and an improper criminal investigation. The point is though , Centers of Excellence are a danger to society because they violate standards , laws, regulations and the Charter of Rights and Freedoms . Their continued condoned abuses are a threat to society and the taxpayers .

On the original website you can see where the federal Ministry of Health was informed about the importance of the Cell Death Signal Theory , and were DIRECTLY asked , “wouldn't honest cancer researchers want to know ?” I can not emphasize enough the time being 1987 , and the federal government joined in the suppression of the research not only to cover up felony fraud , but to protect cancer researchers at other institutes in Canada and the United States. You must understand that cancer institutes not only employ researchers but the elite children of elite families { like Riley) are given sweetheart deals so they get scholarships and jobs at these institutions. If you disprove any one part of any big theory at any one time , you are not just advancing cancer research and helping sick people , but you are taking away the future employment of these wealthy children, and that is not why their parents donate to the institutions or political parties (like the one Douglas Wright is associated with). Same in the States and Yale : elite children's futures are more important than advancing cancer research. That is why new ideas are held back ; this is exactly what the Endocrinology Society told me when I complained that cancer research was being suppressed ; in Dr. Barker's own words as a representative of Endocrinology USA , “someone else will discover this too sooner or later .” Is this why you donate to cancer research ? Breast cancer research ; to give a career to wealthy children ? don't believe me , then read the letter to CEO Godsoe of the Bank of Nova Scotia and their 10 million dollar donation for scholarships to McMaster . One scholarship is going to an under grad to study breast cancer . Ask this person about ethics . Is lying for money fraud ? Should cancer research be suppressed ? Get their answer and then look at my 1992 breast cancer proposals , they have just found circa 2003 such a protein as I proposed . Just now ! How many people have died from breast cancer since 1992 ? Was it worth it to cover up the fraud ?

Once more we return to the basic question repeatedly asked on the website , “Is lying for federal money that requires truthful assurances , and would not be

granted if the full and honest truth were told , fraud ? Yes or no ? The basic concern is not about money it is the inherent (or more importantly , lack of) honesty and dependability of any signed assurances for scientific research . As proven above, bad science kills . You must fully understand the concerns are real (affect you) and any government that will lie, encourage lying and cover up fraud and federal regulation violations will also lie about the safety of drugs and vaccines and biotechnology programs (i.e., the safety of genetic engineering may be compromised for money) if it so suits them to . For example , in April 2004 it is reported in the media that a rabies vaccine in the US is being recalled for safety concerns . The point is that a vaccine which people can choose to take was admitted to be unsafe : a vaccine batch failed . Similarly , the media in Feb. 2004 reported Australian troops sent to Iraq were given a vaccine that may have been flawed . This information was withheld from them (for military and political reasons ; not scientific nor safety concerns). Please note , governments have confidentiality clauses forbidding scientists from warning (you) the public of dangerous vaccines etc. that the government (especially the military) may be using . You must understand that American personnel who refused to take vaccines for the Iraq war are being court martialed . There may be evidence being deliberately withheld by the authorities that would exonerate these service people , save their reputations , records and pensions and win their court martial defense . Also , service personnel from the Gulf War (include Canadian soldiers)who have come down with Gulf War Syndrome believe it may be a bad vaccine that is responsible . Like Tainted Blood , the governments may be more concerned about liabilities (especially protecting friends who own the vaccine companies) than about the ruined lives that may have resulted from the combination of bad politics and bad science .

The counter argument presented in the media for one specific vaccine the soldiers were citing , the anthrax vaccine , is that farmers have received this vaccine for years and it is very safe . Please note that the exact same analogy is true of the rabies vaccine , and you can see for yourself as reported in 2004 about the recall that **BAD BATCHES ARE POSSIBLE !** It is now my contention that a major reason for my black listing is government recognizes my strong commitment to telling the truth and high standards , and they may need people to lie on demand : they do not see me going along with such policies . You , the public , must decide if this is the kind of government policy you want ?

There must be a Basis (or Foundation) under law for reopening a criminal investigation ; usually new evidence pertinent to the case , or evidence of failings / omissions in the previous investigation (I.e., key witnesses or suspects not

interviewed , like Dr. J.E. Thompson and CEO Wright plus others) . For the police to fail to perform a completely thorough investigation there has to be a realistic motive , such as , 1) political interference to protect a political program ; and/or 2) persons of tremendous financial and political influence such as Douglas Wright and Trevor Eyton and Brian Mulrone y and Bill Davis . The political reason for the federal parties of Canada , Mulrone y's Conservatives , and Chretien's Liberals to cover up the fraud is that they are trying to promote the Center of Excellence scheme as a triumph of free enterprise and their own visions of governments ,and what private people would want to donate to a center convicted of a criminal felony for blocking cancer research ? A very powerful motive to redirect the RCMP down an ineffectual investigation path , wouldn't you say ? And as for the American government , it would be to protect Yale , because both presidents Clinton and Bush are alumni . A limited and narrowly defined police investigation would avoid embarrassing elite and wealthy political figures (in the Westray Mine Tragedy they waited until a key witness left the jurisdiction so he could not be called to testify . Needless to say no criminal convictions followed) . Political influence has covered up many criminal acts in both countries (US examples include presidential pardons and in Canada the Sponsorship programs) . The public is asked to note that as of April 2004 the Ottawa police were asked to investigate the RCMP for a 4 million dollar pension fund irregularity wherein RCMP staffers were told to be "creative" by their superiors . This creative aspect of the RCMP hierarchy must be noted , and the public is also asked to note an RCMP Office Stenhouse (sic) who was black listed because he reported that his superiors rather than investigate the Hell's Angels were going to run a public relations scam . He was trying to help the public while his superiors were avoiding enforcing the law . Therefore, this creative aspect of the RCMP when faced with difficult and political issues and a means to dealing with embarrassing legalities must be seen as an established precedent and fact . The allegation is made that the RCMP never interviewed key suspects (from Carlson to Riley to Thompson , Kalbfleisch to Wright to Friesen to the former Ministers of Health plus others ; please see letter to RCMP complaint commission and their failure to provide answers . Please note I did ask before making these allegations .) which must be viewed as part of the "creative" investigative skills required when dealing with hot political problems so criminal charges could be avoided . And , similarly , Mr. Dockery under the IGO in the US was just a "creative" in his avoidance of facts ; which the DOJ showed no interest in exposing (see how the 911 terrorists so easily walked around the authorities, they are so used to avoiding enforcing the law etc., it is second nature to them to let suspects go) . Therefore , political corruption and interference must be seen as a realistic Basis/Foundation , since key suspects

(perpetrators) were never interrogated , for the reopening of the criminal investigations by both federal governments .

LEGAL AND MORAL PRECEDENTS 2004

1. Yale University (Medical School) [go to NBC news website] bankrupted a mother with a quadriplegic child when they were recovering medical fees (of which a large proportion was INTEREST charges -- note punitive recovery). They also put liens on peoples homes who failed to pay a \$35 dollar service charge (that damages your credit rating and serves as a PUNITIVE warning to others). Yale did not care about hardships they caused these people , but sought the LETTER OF THE LAW WITHOUT MERCY NOR COMPASSION nor regard to the harm they were causing these people's reputations nor their feelings (emotional hardship) .

Importance as a Legal and Moral Precedent

Yale , by its own actions has SET THE PRECEDENT AND LEGAL STANDARD on how the federal police should act on the criminal federal felony charges where there are NO statutes of limitations for fraud committed against the federal government . Yale has demonstrated quite publicly that it expects and demands of the law enforcement the FULL LETTER OF THE LAW TO BE SERVED WITHOUT MERCY OR COMPASSION ! Therefore , it is common law practice and precedent , what is good for Yale to proceed against ordinary citizens is , in fair turn good to be served against Yale. Yale is not (unless the American revolution has been canceled) better than the common man and above the law , so it is only fitting based on its own actions that Yale (and its coconspirators , Douglas Wright and the University of Waterloo) be treated to the letter of the law equally as they treated the ordinary taxpaying citizens of Connecticut . And it will be most appropriate if they can be tried before a jury of twelve ordinary taxpaying citizens of Connecticut .

Additional note : the NIH in refusing to proceed against UW and Dr. Behrman of Yale because they were worried about "his" reputation . If the man plagiarized research and was responsible for the hold up of cancer theories, especially any related to breast cancer , doesn't NIH have its priorities wrong ? Yale didn't care if they damaged the reputations of working people who depend on them for their jobs, livelihood , etc. Reputation be damned , ask Dr. Faucci , head of NIH what is more important to the American people, taxpayer , and those who donate to finding a cure for cancer , protecting Yale, and Dr. Behrman's reputation or finding the

cure NOW ? Ask Dr. Fauci if HE will put his position on the line if the Riley work CAN'T be replicated ? Will he resign his position ? Yes or no ? This is not a frivolous question because it goes to the core of the problem facing science and society today : can you trust government and appointed officials to tell you the truth and protect you from bad biotechnology ? One NIH official lied in writing when he replied to the fraud and plagiarization allegations by falsely stating that a university can accept ANY standards for a Ph.D. it wants ; however he failed to say , but not the US government if it is going to grant scholarship money . The federal rules in writing are quite explicit , and fabricating a Ph.D. is fraud : truthful assurances for excellence etc. are clearly stated . Read them . It is your life , not just your tax dollars we are talking about. Either , Dr. Fauci puts his position on the line , or , if he is a good official demand an full and open public investigation into the Riley Fraud Scholarship.

Again this is not frivolous because the government wants to build a bioterrorist lab at Boston U. and Dr. Fauci is saying it is necessary and safe : his reputation MUST BE TRUTHFUL and TRUSTWORTHY . Therefore , this is a fair test that the public can judge his word and actions on. Point, hopefully , the US government is responding to my bad biotechnology concerns you can read in the Addendum sent to Mr. Johnson of The Congress Energy and Commerce Committee . He never responded . You should ask him to respond though, the concerns are important to you . Write or email him . What you should note though is we had the same debate in Canada , they wanted to build two labs also (you are set to get one for Boston, and the other in Texas) one in Toronto , and the other in (isolated) Winnipeg . I wrote our government and explained the Riley Standard of science that would probably be used at the Toronto lab (bad and based on family connections , not ability) and asked the government who would pay the Americans liability when a cloud of virus got loose and wafted over Lake Ontario to New York State killing millions . No answer but no lab was built , except in ISOLATED Winnipeg ; get the point , they did not have real confidence in the authorities (like Wright and all to back the science beyond the Riley Standard). Point when the 2003 electricity grid failed the American officials (mayor of NY) were ready to blame Canada and launch a massive lawsuit for losses. Point , American power companies were responsible for the failure : system neglected for years with poor standards of enforcement . See how this will relate to the bio lab at Boston U. What standards will you truthfully have and enforce ? How well does Dr. Fauci enforce standards on his watch now? He has done nothing about the Riley (accepted it) Standard for years , so why will NIH standards be any better (safer) now ?

And about my black listing , and not being allowed to sit on government advisory committees here is the perfect example where my input would benefit the public . If they are to go ahead with the Boston U lab , then there should be some conditions the public must demand . One, no confidentiality clauses , because if there is an accident ,just covering it up will not get to the bottom and correct the problem ,it will just ensure it will happen again , and perhaps be very deadly. Two, that there be Whistle Blower Protection in place with an absolute commitment to it , so no one will lose their career or pensions by protecting the public . The head of the new facility (or group responsible) be held **CRIMINALLY** responsible for any accidents or problems . This is a big point , but very important if you are to prevent a serious accident . Too often , important positions are given to government cronies and the wording keeps them absolved of any liability : the danger here is too deadly for old fashioned government and science techniques : remember 911 , old didn't work , standards must be high . Therefore , anyone who will take on such an important position must be accountable , and only criminal charges will ensure this . Such considerations are , Negligence , to Negligence causing death, causing public harm etc. Only this level of accountability works with this level of danger . Read my Addendum and ask yourself ,”What would the authorities **HAVE** to do to stop an airborne virus that no one has any immunity from spreading ?” For a simple example look at all the chickens and turkeys that were executed to stop the avian flu spread ; now think human and bigger . Get the serious point ; it is your life after all . Now do you understand why **SIGNED ASSURANCES** have to be truthful ? Why those who are signing and those who are accepting the assurances must be trustworthy and why standards must be enforced ? Why people must be held accountable to set an example that protects society ?

2.UCLA (Mar. 2004) and a southern university have been charged with selling (donated) human cadaver parts to private pharmaceutical companies ; even though this was not the express (written) wishes/intent of the donors . In the case of the southern school , they sold the university donated cadavers to the military for explosives testing , and afterwards collected the remaining body **PARTS** which were then sold to the pharmaceutical companies (do my stem cell criticisms about using prostitutes to have abortions seem so far off now , see original website material).**PROFIT** was made off of (literally) the bodies of people who believed they were being noble and helping to advance medical science for humanity . One woman interviewed in the media said her husband had expressly donated his body for medical research at UCLA ,and the family had expected the remains to be returned for private burial , but UCLA lied and said it had already been cremated :

not as they had promised , not as any signed assurances would indicate . Many families are also finding out that UCLA was not truthful . Keywords : not truthful , and false signed assurances . Please note , whenever there is money for profit involved you will find untruthful and unethical acts committed by universities (is UCLA a Center of Excellence ?) . The university had given DELIBERATE FALSE ASSURANCES (LIED) to the families : betrayed their trust . One of the accused at UCLA denies ANY guilt claiming he was only acting within UNIVERSITY APPROVED PROTOCOLS : like the Gelsinger case , this is a very important concept , crony (coconspirator) approval ; plausible denial after harm has occurred in order to escape accountability . Once more , an important point of this case is asking the fraud charges be laid against UW , to set the precedent that the executive administrators (the “BIG fish , not the little fish) be held accountable to DETER future criminal , reprehensible acts from occurring . For the good of society .

Before going on to discuss “protocols” , we must summarize, points 1) and 2) establish legal and moral precedent for the fraud charges to be laid against CEO Douglas Wright and the University of Waterloo. We have seen evidence of an incomplete police investigation, failures of the federal authorities to enforce regulations, and most damning of all , the Yale precedent to push for the letter of the law . These are all good reasons, a Basis/Foundation for the good of society to demand the criminal case be reopened , the felony charges be laid , and their direct and current relevancy to society’s betterment .

BASIC QUESTION : IS LYING FOR FEDERAL MONEY FRAUD ?

SECOND BASIC QUESTION : IF BOTH GOVERNMENTS ARE MANDATED TO USE THE TAXPAYERS’ MONEY TO DISCOVER MEDICAL ADVANCES , HOW IS IT THEIR MANDATE TO BLOCK CANCER THEORIES TO PROTECT FRIENDS AND TO CONSPIRE TO COVER UP FEDERAL FRAUD ?

Important illustration of the failure of peer review and ethics. On the original website material you will find a reply from Dr. Jennifer Dorrington , a former reproductive scientist at the University of Toronto , and now involved with private IVF . I had hoped to leave UW as a bad experience , and expecting the peer community to be committed to ethics and advancing science I interviewed with Dr. Dorrington explaining the value of the research to cancer and other . U of T is associated with Princess Margaret Cancer Center , and the Sunnybrook Cancer Treatment Center . I , wrongly , assumed UW was the problem , not the

reproductive community . Dr. Dorrington was a friend of Dr. Carlson . Friendship is more important than cancer research , which includes breast cancer research : to assume a women scientist would be more sympathetic is a mistake. Dr. Dorrington , after promising me a Ph.D. position to study with her she changed her mind . You may read her reply , but note , she had promised the position to someone else who was back now . How can we judge Dr. Dorrington? Did she and her former collaborator discover anything of value to medicine , or was this another sweetheart position guaranteed to an elite friend like Riley ? You can find out by examining the medical discoveries that they did produce : that should be fair . It is your (public donations and taxes) money , so you should see how it is spent on cancer research . Is it any wonder there is no cure yet with such a frivolous attitude ? About the Reproductive Community in Canada ; they were found by a Royal Commission to have had extremely bad standards ; sperm not properly stored , lack of screening in samples for disease etc. etc. I was told I was black listed because my standards were too high . Think about it . What is important is my peers have been shown to have bad standards and have gone along with black listing . That is bad , but worse , so have the federal governments . So the next discussion on PROTOCOLS and COMMITTEES is very relevant to the public well being ; especially when you read my pass research proposals and see how correct they were : others are only doing the research NOW fifteen years LATER !

PROTOCOLS AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEES ; ACCOUNTABILITY
Examples : Stem Cell Research and Canada's New Security Body re., Emerging Infectious Diseases

Both the US (see email to Congress) and Canadian governments will allow stem cell research , and are establishing “committees” for peer review (re., Jesse Gelsinger) to set “PROTOCOLS” to oversee the research .

FACT ; regarding “Protocols” or “Guidelines” both federal police have personally told me that they are MEANINGLESS : THEY HAVE NO SUBSTANCE , NO ENFORCEMENT VALUE , and are just words on paper to be interpreted any which way the “peers” (like a Dr. Dorrington for example) see fit . In short , they are NO protection whatsoever to the public's health and safety , nor to protect the inherent civil rights of any unborn fetus (purchased in the Third World , or else where there are poor people who are desperate) .

So given the examples of blatantly FALSE ASSURANCES given by such a large university as the University of Waterloo , and the dreadful failure of the Reproductive Community of peers to handle ethical dilemmas (do I back up a

colleague or high standards) it is only FAIR and in the public's best interest to test the new Canadian Stem Cell Research Committee (Reproductive Science, hence direct relevance) , and ask them to publicly review my ethical problem so their response may be compared to community standards ; I.e., how the public responds to the same questions. They will be asked (especially if you , the public ,write them asking them to , to answer the basic questions . 1) is lying for money fraud ? Yes or no ? 2) Is a person who had no undergraduate degrees in biology or chemistry , and whose research can't be replicated of excellent ability ? 3) Is research described in official government documents in DEROGATORY terms , excellent ?

They can not use the excuse it is not fair because the Canadian Parliament with its 1915 Armenian Genocide vote has publicly declared to the world that ethical questions are fair and relevant : important precedent to a body appointed by the Canadian Parliament . Nor can they use the excuse to refuse based on friendships, because , like Dr. Wilson of the Gelsinger death , if they can NOT judge friends (cronyism) with honest detachment , they shouldn't be on the committee in the first place : they are no use to the public good because they put personal interests ahead of society's . No fair because their conclusion may be used in a court of law (both civil and legal) , but we must look at the Yale precedent, they had no problem sticking it to the public , and like the Gelsinger death, their very existence is to set the standards so people are not harmed, and if it was an easy job anyone could do it . In other words , if they aren't up to it , they shouldn't be on the committee to set "Protocols" in the first place.

The second group of direct relevance ,is the group being formed by the Deputy Prime Minister , Ann McLellan (whom I've dealt with also requesting material) as part of Canada's commitment to international security , and the threat of EMERGING DISEASES . Please realize (for the hundredth time) , I have been black listed and PLEADED with people to do the research explaining the relevance of cancer and AIDS as evolutionary mechanisms in the formation of new diseases for which mankind has no immunity . I may have influenced governments , if you look at the dates on my courier letters to government explaining why it was important to stop AIDS in Africa : if an infected people survive to develop an immunity from exposure they become the new species and secondary diseases , like flus develop to eliminate the older species (you and me) . This went to Pres. Clinton and others in the early nineties !!!! So why haven't I received help ? If you go by the McMaster example , Dr. Rosenthal , whom I contacted very early (I will get my own funding , can I work with you ?) he still has not found the cure . Proof of success is the Salk vaccine for polio , it is an unqualified success . Dr. Rosenthal has been in experimental testing (forever) of a vaccine in circa 2002 for

AIDS , is it an unqualified success ? If not, what excuse could he have for turning down my offer ? Personality fear , or fear of my high standards do not sound like good answers, but excuses like my dog ate my home work : is that good enough for AIDS research if you really are serious about finding a cure ?

The point is , all my research stands on its own merit ,but even I have limits and must work with good , open, ethical and honest people. Black listing has blocked me ,but my theories are very serious and in the public interest , the Addendum was also sent to the Canadian federal government , I bored a poor RCMP officer with my concerns , so why am I receiving no help ?Especially if P.M. Martin is serious about the threat of emerging infectious diseases , see email to PM Martin (and Bono) . Please note , I informed his predecessor , PM Chretien circa 1992 about the bad standards government was accepting and predicted a public disaster in water treatment due to this . Low and behold, the Walkerton Water Disaster happened where people without training (like a Riley) were doing (or not , actually they made up a lot , like Riley) the water tests . People died . And I would like to do research in order to prevent people from dying from new diseases that may be carelessly generated from bad new biotech , and that is the rub , the Center of Excellent program does not want to hear about possible industrial failures. This , again, is like the Tainted Blood , if industrial clients can claim no test existed they can't be held liable, but only note , the harm could be in the millions . So, we must test both Deputy PM McLellan and PM Martin if they are honest or not .

Walkerton was a simple BACTERIA contamination of the water supply : incompetence and negligence allowed the deaths . The contaminated blood supply was from VIRUSES , HIV and Hep C mainly , and again incompetence , but there may also have been criminal negligence . The over riding similarity of the two disasters is the failure of government to enforce standards and oversight . The BSE (mad cow) destruction of the beef industry was by a PRION : again incompetence by government inspectors (a specimen was left for days before being examined) and government's failure to grasp the seriousness of disease impact to have high enough standards and rapid response . You will note that three organisms have been capitalized ,BACTERIA , VIRUS and PRIONS because , according to VTT , these are the foundation of life on earth and form the basis of evolutionary control , and bad biotech will promote their misuse (as proven above) with disastrous results . World governments have been slow to comprehend how much the microworld is involved in our lives and the importance of the components of disease . People have died because of this failure to understand and respond/adapt to our changing environment : 19th and 20th century science is no longer good

enough , but governments have depended (they are lazy and changing policies is hard work) upon outdated approaches, and it is easier to silence critics (like with WMDs) .Scientists and meat inspectors etc. who have complained have been threatened and/or lost their jobs . In fact , government has , in the past , ensured there would be little (none) criticism of government policy by employing family and friends in key positions . What we see is SELECTIVE DAMAGE CONTROL being substituted for TRUTHFUL STANDARDS and SCIENTIFIC TESTING . So if you graduate people with artificial degrees from elite families , and guarantee them jobs paid for by the state and place them on committees etc. (to set protocols etc.) you can be guaranteed that no one will disagree with your political policy/agenda ; and there can be no liability for you and your friends . Unfortunately , bacteria , viruses and prions can't read nor understand political policy : people have died and important (I.e., beef) industries crippled . But politicians (you the public) should be able to read , and , Prime Minister Paul Martin has publicly vowed to end political cronyism . The major concern that I'm raising with the new Canadian National Security Agency , and its Infectious Disease Group is , “ how can you TRUST this new committee ?” Under the Health Ministry , the former President of the Medical Research Council , H.G. Friesen (there are Friesens on the UW administration/board) ; now the Canadian National Institutes of Health , lied , looked the other way , and facilitated fraud , and was an accessory to blocking cancer research . This was against the mandate of his office , and violates Acts of Parliament . Yet, he willingly joined a conspiracy to silence research critical of political policy and his friends . New diseases will be created by new biotech , how can you trust a new agency created by a government so corrupt that it suppressed cancer research in violation of its own mandates ? O.K. you say , there is a new team in office , then let us find out if P.M. Martin is a man of his word (different from Mulroney and Chretien) and , for the public good , see if he will join in the request to test this “NEW “ committee that will establish protocols for Emerging Infectious Diseases .To test the Infectious Diseases committee , the basic questions are the same as for the Stem Cell group , but there is a further qualifier : the Addendum , and its concern for new diseases , and the subsequent terrible consequences .

Where are the new diseases to come from : read Mass Extinction/SARS , it is not about SARS but evolution . New diseases develop/evolve in response to the changing environment and new species creation . A major point it makes is we have to clean up pollution . However , the second major point it makes is bad biotechnology is dangerous , and where the new species will come from : we are creating them . Every new GE plant and animal is a NEW species . And the

environment adapts to monitor and moderate species , which it does through disease . Am I saying all biotechnology is bad ? No . The sad fact of the matter is because of our huge populations and massive consumption , we can not go back to the past , but must rely on new , but PROVEN safe technology . And to have safe biotechnology we can not use systems or political procedures as those seen in Walkerton , Tainted Blood or Mad Cow etc.

EXAMPLE OF BAD BIOTECH ACCIDENT

Not all GE plants or animals will cause a new disease , but all it takes is the wrong one . We must prevent the wrong one from happening . For example ,say the wrong one is like you entering a scientific testing of I.e., flu vaccines , and it is like the testing lab making a mistake with batch labels (or like the bad rabies vaccine) or giving you a dangerous injection by mistake : mistakes happen right ? For simplicity's sake , lets make your life (or death) a game of Russian Roulette with just one vial of HIV mixed up with 99,999 vials of test flu ; you odds are one in 100,000 : small risk . Yet , if you are the one person to receive the HIV vial it is a death sentence (Gelsinger) , and not only that , but you may spread it even before you know you are dying . Now, let our example be , we create 100,000 GE plants and animals , but just ONE has the special genome area to generate a new disease . An airborne disease for which we have no immunity , then not one person will die , or even several if you think of sexual spread of HIV , but perhaps thousands or millions. Remember how panicked people were at first with SARS , well think again . And this is just part of the new research I would like to follow up on . I have approached government at many levels , but they appear to be involved in Damage Control and cover up . See the harm black listing does .

Why black list this (what reason ?) as it appears important to the public good ? Plausible explanation : bad biotechnology and liability , and cronyism (and old government political practices) . The Center of Excellence scheme is cited again as the political problem : business and government working together in Damage Control to ensure Plausible Denial and NO liability for harm and damages (which the Protocols for Emerging Infectious Diseases are supposedly being developed to prevent , the harm) . And why we begin to see a web of Douglas Wright , and UW and the University of Guelph , and Yale , and Brian Mulroney , and Jean Chretien , and Pres. Clinton ; and Monsanto (others) all interwoven together . Pres. Clinton went to Yale, and as president received money from Monsanto . CEO Wright of UW was associated with Ontario Premier Bill Davis (named a library after him) conservative party . The Mulroney Health Minister , Winegard was a former president of Guelph . All proposed and promoted the Center of Excellence scheme ; which the Chretien liberals also

continued (Clinton and Chretien were friends) . The University of Guelph was in desperate need of upgrading , they almost lost their international veterinary rating. Monsanto , through the scheme ,gave them approximately 38 million (for exact numbers ask the appropriate government agency) , and then the Canadian government spent approximately 40 million promoting Monsanto GM plants around the world : especially Asia : all part of the Centers of Excellence commitment . The reader can draw whatever conclusions they may wish , but my intent is to deal with the testing of the Biotech crops and how they relate to VTT , and the creation of new species ,how it is associated with evolutionary controls and emerging new diseases . Have the Monsanto GM products been FULLY tested ?Only to the tests that were available at that time period (note if a person is black listed they can't develop tests) : sound familiar ,like the Tainted Blood excuses ? I will suggest no one has been allowed to do extensive testing for applications in the field for this concept as it raises very serious issues ; and concerns about harm and liability . For society to be safe tough questions have to be asked with truthful answers given . Couldn't have known isn't good enough with the potential dangers that the new biotechnology may cause .

In Mass/Extinction/SARS , I discuss the civet cat as a carrier for a bird flu , and ask what could be the connection . Chickens are fed grain , and grains are related to rice . Monsanto is selling GM grains and rice in Asia . They have altered a genetic sequence in the grain genome . Genomes are not just read by the developing organism , but by the environment as well . A bacteria or virus may read (i.e., recombinant exchange) the grain and not cause a plant disease but move to the next intermediary (I.e., the chicken eating the chicken feed) and “discuss” the change with the bird's intermediary (I.e., a flu virus , again a recombinant event) , and it is public record how many birds world wide were sick ; but it is not the control event the environment is seeking to change . However , the flu is now enabled to “talk” to the civet cat (a mammal) genome and change . The civet cat may be immune and be just a carrier to the ultimate organism that the environment seeks to modify , and so , the genetic change can communicate with the human genome and its intermediaries , I.e., flus etc. . The previous virus is modified to work with the human genome . The hypothesis becomes that genetic modification in the grain was to a compatible genetic sequence in the chicken and chicken flu genomones (ying/yang relationship ; where the crossover is due a loose fit or “wobble” effect) and also is now compatible to sequences in the human genome . Hence we see the possible crossover to mammals from grain via chickens through various intermediaries to trigger evolutionary modifiers :disease , flus etc. . This is but one of many possible explanations for SARS . It is not a proven fact but a scientific hypothesis that research SHOULD explore . Back to the HIV vial

example , 1 in 100 thousand ; but shouldn't we want to work to control these events from happening if we are to declare biotech is 100% safe to prevent PREVENTABLE disasters (the space shuttle was a preventable disaster , so was Tainted Blood and many others , but people have to listen and be willing to act).

We could go in circles all day debating whether or not the Center of Excellence partners want this research blocked or not . That is not important nor the issue . Do you , the public, think the question is important to be followed up upon ? Do you think the research should go ahead and examine the theory and the issue ? If you do , help me , and ask for a public test of the Infectious Disease group that Deputy Prime Minister McLellan is forming . Help ensure that they are honest, transparent and accountable to the public health and safety , not to corporate clients nor cronyism . Like the Canadian Stem Cell Research Committee , lets ask the Infectious Disease Group who will set the government's protocols to answer the same questions ; plus whether the government should help E.A. Greenhalgh over come the black listing and receive help to do the research at a publicly acceptable facility on VTT and related theories . Without any interference from conflicted parties , such as private firms or politicians that they may have lobbied .Help ensure that any findings are made public and not suppressed like in the past .

About politicians with conflicts of interest another such example is the Canadian Senator Kinsella who is a good friend of Brian Mulroney (and helped to get a university building named after him) . Sen. Kinsella is also the sponsor of a whistle blowers bill . I wrote him (courier) . No response , even when I telephoned his office and talked to staff. The public must judge his commitment , and subsequently, any government agency claiming high goals , but may be conflicted of interests .Which is why it is eminently fair that the public demand an open public test of this new Infectious Diseases Groups protocols and commitment .

All the above laid a serious ground work , legal basis for laying the fraud charges and asking for the public's help . The Gelsinger case demonstrated concerns on peer review and protocols . And justifies the existence of this website . Precedents :Protocols and peer review and government agencies are useless to the public safety if they are UNTRUSTWORTHY and UNTRUTHFUL . Can Dr. Fauci (of NIH) prove to the public that he is trustworthy ? It is up to him to respond , but the documentation proves (not alleges) that both governments (Canada and US) have violated their own federal standards , and refused to enforce regulations and law . This is bad , and begs the question , “ how can the public trust them to protect the public from threats in the future ?” The answer is , you can't , not unless they are willing to do their duty ; especially if that duty is painful and

means exposing politically influential people in both countries . Exposing government agencies that were derelict to public scrutiny . And here is the important question, “ if exposing the problems in the FBI and CIA before 911 would have prevented 911 , would it have been worth it ?” Please answer the question . I have over and over and over asked everyone to read the Addendum and Mass Extinction/SARS , explaining that by following the scientific principle and doing truthful experiments we may prevent disasters ,but it requires :

1. honest federal agencies
2. these agencies help not hinder ,
3. it requires good scientists and institutions to come forward to work with me .

Unfortunately , the federal governments of both countries are part of the problem and are black listing me (if they weren't they would have helped by now). If the US government can black list FBI agents trying to prevent 911 , then it is no stretch of the imagination that they could black list me . Same with the Canadian government, but we won't rehash the explanations again . The reasons are simply bad. Yet, instead of just saying that they are bad , let us test them and see if they are up to the job or not . They may yet surprise us , and we may find some good, truthful and trustworthy people who will come forward: you just have to ask them .

In conclusion of this revision : cancer research is blocked for money and held back so centers can continue receiving money for outdated ideas ; to gain exclusive patent rights ; and to secure jobs for the children of elite and influential families. The potential for a bad biotechnology disaster is very high . If you would like to help , email or write your political representative asking for a public inquiry . Tell others about this website because nothing exposes corruption better than public exposure . Thank you .

Edward A. Greenhalgh 7 May 2004

-