Edward A. Greenhalgh 1603-75 York St., Kitchener, Ontario. N2G 1T5

Tel. 519-579-8320

Att. Gen. Of the U.S.A.
John Ashcroft
C/o

25 June 2003

Courier Delivery *

Title: Asking the Public for Help

Jo Ann Farrington Deputy Chief

Public Integrity Section

Criminal Division

U.S. Dept. of Justice

10th St.,

Constitutional Ave., N.W.,

Washington D.C.

20530

tel. 202-514-1412

Dear Deputy Chief Farrington:

Please find the enclosed copy of the material sent to the RCMP Public Complaints Commission to re-open the fraud case against the University of Waterloo. See www.cancerfraudbadbiotech.com http://www.cancerfraudbadbiotech.com.

Precedent: 4 June 2003 a DOJ official commenting on the indictment of Martha Stewart publicly declared on national television: " it is wrong to lie to the American government."

This official who represents the DOJ policy went on to say that it constitutes fraud, and obstruction of justice. I must clearly state that this is EXACTLY what I presented to the DOJ many times (which the public can now verify) that the University of Waterloo LIED to the American government (maybe the American government wants to be lied to?) for monies that they otherwise honestly would not receive. This is felony fraud so clearly defined by DOJ officials themselves now.

THE FAILURE OF THE DOJ to enforce the (deterrent value of the) law will result in harm to the American people. I have repeatedly asked American federal personnel, "why do you want dead Americans?" I must now ask the American people directly for help, and you can answer to them.

I will ask the American people to consider SARS in Toronto, and the Canadian Mad Cow epidemic (cattle from the infected group are in the US) when they read the website material, especially that sent to Mr.Ken Johnson and the U.S. Congress. How does protecting incompetent scientists and corrupt government officials in Canada protect the American people? SARS is mild to what will come (see Mass Extinction/SARS) so I must ask the American people for help to over come the blacklisting because continuing the research IS in their best interests too.

The US government's DIA has a formal written report about "unreliable sources", yet, for political purposes, the US government went ahead with the invasion of Iraq. If the public is to believe the government acted sincerely to protect them from a possible threat to their lives, then why has the DOJ NOT proceeded with this case? RELIABLE evidence- documents plus repeatable experiments and other, have proven that the University of Waterloo lied in writing. Intended to lie. The Canadian government also lied, and intended to lie to protect the University of Waterloo.

However, for the benefit of the American people (after all it is their lives we are talking about) lets put the whole issue in the perspective of the Mad Cow epidemic. The Canadian government has lied about the competency and enforcement of its standards concerning this disease. Bottom line: the Canadian industry has been harmed by bad standards, and threatens to harm America's industry. All because the U.S. government is willing to accept bad standards.

Another example, SARS. Do you and the American public trust viruses to stop at the border because the U.S. government is willing to accept bad standards? I believe this issue of bad standards and threats to life is a good basis for my continuing to press this most serious compliant so the research of benefit blocked by cover up and corruption may be resumed. And why the American people are being asked for their help.

For the American people and the record, the DOJ replied, "and what law was broken?" FOR THE RECORD: CEO Wright gave just as FALSE

SIGNED ASSURANCES as any of the indicted wall street companies. And now the DOJ with the Martha Stewart case is on public record that lying to the US government is wrong, and includes obstruction charges. And there is no statutes of limitations for felonies against the U.S. federal government. The American public can now compare your response to their own. I am asking the American people for help, so they will also be interested in the answer to these questions. Does Pres. Bush want to protect the American people? Do you?

If you do , you will enforce the law : only its deterrent effect protects the public.

Thank you.

Very truly,

Edward A. Greenhalgh

Please note this material will be added to the website

Cc RCMP-